Approved For Release 2000/05/05 : CIA-RDP**/5**-00149R0001001

FOIAb3b

## CIA'S Defense

Editor, The Ster-Free Press: up exclusively of idiots 100 per on the uncontrovertible rea working with efficiency I doubt time after time. if he or I could hope to equal, under pressures and perils I still-clouded Francis Powers at least would rather not en ricident, CIA's U2 survey dure - in his defense as much Hights over Soviet Russia insult to pass unchallenged.

Undoubtedly, certain CIA opwere misled, or suffered from

CPYRGHT

delusions of cloak-and-dagger ( "Free Cuba" commitments R. Villaveres (Letters, May that properly should be given 29), has admid cause to criti or withheld only by highest cize those responsible for the Washington authority. No se-"invasion," fiacco in Cuba. But cret bureau in history, anyhe has neither reason nor where on earth, has been proof right to state that the Central against personnel errors of Intelligence Agency is "made commission and omission. But cent mefficient." Far too many ord, the faceless reng-and-file honorable, dedicated, gallant of CIA have earned this ne men have worked and are tion's respectful gratitude,

For four years, until the as in mine - for this reckless added up to one of the most successful intelligence coups eratives guessed wrong, or repudiated Stalin, it was CIA of all time. When Khrushchev that got the text of his new plan for Communist world-conquest. Rudolf Abel, the Red spy-master in the United States, was yanked out from under-cover by CIA - not by the FBI. We get more truth out of Communist China than Mac Tse-tung and Chou En-la: can bear indefinitely, from CIA's volunteers — who get no medals for bravery beyond the line of duty, and risk death every moment of their lives.

Suppose we take a second look at Cuba. Our military intelligence is, or ought to be, on the job in every sensitive area including Castroland. Our Navy basé at Guantanamo is, or is supposed to be, a lookout listening post almost on Castro's doorstep. If military \ fice intelligence knew CIA was had millions wrong, did General Staff fulfill its function by playing Pilate, washing its hands of responsibility for what we are now asked to believe the Pentagon knew was bound to happen? If military intelligence did not know, why not? A general is investigating CIA. Who investigates the generals?

Consider Korea. General Carter Magruder, speaking as if he were pro-consul, ordered the revolutionary government to get out or take the consequences. Almost simultaneously, mysterious "leaks" blamed CIA for "not knowing a revolution was going to take place." Anybody on station in Rores had known what was coming, for months past. Whose job was it, to avert the bump, if it should have been everted? It was not CIA's.

most force from the armed service will also show, I believer the uninformed experts to info mation evaluation secret.

Your Mes Will

not high, when they were ing the chots: Is all cient evidence now ay to prove they will do better i they get a second chance! And yet is that not exactly what we are moving divising, as long as CIA can be ariade screenat and the mob throws 142?

I am nut preending the poiicy decisions, but led to sallure in Cuba. I have, however, point out that accurrant to more "leaks" whole fortun is point out that acceptant to more "leaks" while sorigin is carefully conceiled—the enormous amount of military, aid that went down the drain in Laos was controlled by the Pentagon, not by LA. The black-market scandar in Turkey erupted in spite of Lact because of, CIA. And safely, the list of mistakes and water, for which responsibility has not yet been assigned, could be much longer. much longer.

meanwhile, as I was this, there are very lonely cans in very dangerous doing the best they collect tiny pieces fit somewhere in the jigsaw půzile probl**em 24 k** days a Week ye If there are a idiocy lie ROBERT H Country

**CPYRGHT** 

Release 2000/05/05 : CIA-RDP75-001